

**RFI 097b
Pebble Project EIS**

Request for Information

Title/Subject:	Cultural Features in Analysis Area
Requestor:	AECOM
Date Transmitted:	12/19/2018
Recipient:	Pebble Limited Partnership (Stephen R. Braund and Associates)
Response Requested by:	12/27/2018
Rationale:	<p>The response to RFI 097 provided tables that list the interviewed-identified sites within the project footprint, and the viewshed. After reviewing the data, we are revising the analysis area in the EIS to a size that is more relevant to the indirect impacts to the project.</p> <p>Additionally, place name data is an important aspect of the affected environment for the EIS. The previous response to RFI 097 did not include place name data.</p>
Describe the Information Requested and Level of Detail:	<p>For all alternatives and variants, please provide:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tables that show the interview-identified sites within these parameters: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Mine site: Everything within a 3-mile buffer around the boundary of the mine site facilities. b. All other project components: A 1-mile buffer around all project component polygons or 1 mile on each side of line features. 2. Tables that show the place name features within all project footprints, and the above buffer parameters.

Recipient Response Form

Date Received from USACE:	12/19/2018
Response from Recipient (Describe Information Requested to the Level of Detail Requested; Provide Attachments as Needed):	<p>Please see attached figures and tables in response to item #2 above. Note that the south side of Iliamna Lake was not part of the original EBD cultural resource study area. Thus, there is limited place name information available for Alternative 1.</p> <p>Also, a few place names do not intersect with the feature buffers, but are included given their proximity and general area relevance (e.g., Groundhog Mountain). Notations are included in the tables.</p>
List Number and Type of Response Attachments:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. PLP18_RFI_097b_Placenames_1-8-19.xlsx 2. Figure1 EBD Place Names in the Cultural Resource Study Areas.pdf 3. Figure2 EBD Place Names in the Proposed Project Cultural Resource Study Areas.pdf 4. Figure3 EBD Place Names in the Alt 2 Cultural Resource Study Areas.pdf 5. Figure4 EBD Place Names in the Alt 3 Cultural Resource Study Areas.pdf
Date Returned to USACE:	1/8/2019

AECOM Intake Form

Date Response was Received:	1/8/2019
Received by:	AECOM
Describe any Follow-up Related to this RFI:	None at this time. Note: attachments to this RFI are considered culturally sensitive material and are not for public distribution.

**RFI 097b
Pebble Project EIS**

Request for Information

Title/Subject:	Cultural Features in Analysis Area
Requestor:	AECOM
Date Transmitted:	12/19/2018
Recipient:	Pebble Limited Partnership (Stephen R. Braund and Associates)
Response Requested by:	12/27/2018
Rationale:	<p>The response to RFI 097 provided tables that list the interviewed-identified sites within the project footprint, and the viewshed. After reviewing the data, we are revising the analysis area in the EIS to a size that is more relevant to the indirect impacts to the project.</p> <p>Additionally, place name data is an important aspect of the affected environment for the EIS. The previous response to RFI 097 did not include place name data.</p>
Describe the Information Requested and Level of Detail:	<p>For all alternatives and variants, please provide:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tables that show the interview-identified sites within these parameters: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Mine site: Everything within a 3-mile buffer around the boundary of the mine site facilities. b. All other project components: A 1-mile buffer around all project component polygons or 1 mile on each side of line features. 2. Tables that show the place name features within all project footprints, and the above buffer parameters.

Recipient Response Form

Date Received from USACE:	12/19/2018
Response from Recipient (Describe Information Requested to the Level of Detail Requested; Provide Attachments as Needed):	<p>1/14/2019 Email from Tim Havey (PLP) to USACE: Attached is the spreadsheet containing the cross-referenced features with associated MTRS data as discussed.</p>
List Number and Type of Response Attachments:	PLP18_RFI_097b_CR_StudyAreas_MTRS_1-14-19.xlsx
Date Returned to USACE:	1/14/2019

AECOM Intake Form

Date Response was Received:	1/14/2019
Received by:	AECOM
Describe any Follow-up Related to this RFI:	None at this time. Note: attachments to this RFI are considered culturally sensitive material and are not for public distribution.

**RFI 097b
Pebble Project EIS**

Request for Information

Title/Subject:	Cultural Features in Analysis Area
Requestor:	AECOM
Date Transmitted:	12/19/2018
Recipient:	Pebble Limited Partnership (Stephen R. Braund and Associates)
Response Requested by:	12/27/2018
Rationale:	<p>The response to RFI 097 provided tables that list the interviewed-identified sites within the project footprint, and the viewshed. After reviewing the data, we are revising the analysis area in the EIS to a size that is more relevant to the indirect impacts to the project.</p> <p>Additionally, place name data is an important aspect of the affected environment for the EIS. The previous response to RFI 097 did not include place name data.</p>
Describe the Information Requested and Level of Detail:	<p>For all alternatives and variants, please provide:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Tables that show the interview-identified sites within these parameters: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Mine site: Everything within a 3-mile buffer around the boundary of the mine site facilities. b. All other project components: A 1-mile buffer around all project component polygons or 1 mile on each side of line features. 2. Tables that show the place name features within all project footprints, and the above buffer parameters.

Recipient Response Form

Date Received from USACE:	12/19/2018
Response from Recipient (Describe Information Requested to the Level of Detail Requested; Provide Attachments as Needed):	<p>Please see attached response to item #1 above. A response to item #2 is pending.</p>
List Number and Type of Response Attachments:	<p>1. PLP18_RFI_097b_CR_Buffers_12-28-18.xlsx</p>
Date Returned to USACE:	12/31/2018

AECOM Intake Form

Date Response was Received:	12/31/2018
Received by:	AECOM
Describe any Follow-up Related to this RFI:	None at this time. Note: attachments to this RFI are considered culturally sensitive material and are not for public distribution.